

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested. No new matter is added by the amendments herein.

Claims 1, 5-12, 16-22, and 26-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,115,074 to Ozkan, et al. ("Ozkan"). Claims 2-4, 13-15, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozkan in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,675,385 to Wang ("Wang").

Applicant respectfully traverses all rejections in view of the amendments and remarks herein.

Independent Claim 1

Independent claim 1 as amended recites that the identical service identifiers are associated with different network types, wherein the network types are selected from the group consisting of a cable network, a satellite network, a terrestrial network, and a data network.

Ozkan does not teach or suggest the simultaneous use of at least two different network types. While Ozkan discusses various network types that may be used (col. 2, line 65 to col. 3, lines 4), Ozkan does not teach or suggest equipment that is capable of being tuned to several different types of networks. Therefore, Ozkan cannot perform the amended step of retrieving as now recited in claim 1.

Moreover, Ozkan does not teach or suggest selecting one of the identical service identifiers in dependence on to which network the receiver is currently tuned. This feature may provide certain advantages. For example, if the desired service is accessible through the same network that is currently tuned to, (e.g., a terrestrial network), then the entry for that terrestrial network can be used. Thus, such a feature may reduce or prevent unnecessary network changes, thereby saving time and improving user experience.

For at least these reasons, it is submitted that claim 1 as amended is not anticipated by Ozkan. Nor does Wang make up for the above-discussed deficiencies of Ozkan.

Independent Claims 12, 22, and 32

It is submitted that independent claims 12, 22, and 32 are also allowable over Ozkan for at least similar reasons as discussed above with regard to claim 1.

Dependent Claims

The dependent claims are also allowable by virtue of depending from allowable independent claims, and further in view of the additional features recited therein.

Conclusion

All rejections having been addressed, Applicant respectfully submits that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and solicit prompt notification of the same. If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: May 13, 2008

By: /Jordan N. Bodner/
Jordan N. Bodner
Registration No. 42,338

1100 13th Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20005
Tel: (202) 824-3000
Fax: (202) 824-3001